UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,358	02/02/2004	Ronald S. Karr	VRT0133US 7650	
	7590 10/10/200 TEPHENSON LLP		EXAMINER	
11401 CENTU	RY OAKS TERRACE		YU, JAE UN	
BLDG. H, SUI AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2185	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/770,358	KARR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAE U. YU	2185		

	JAE U. YU	2185	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejectio	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN CO.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	t waise to the date of filing a baist	مط لمصحفحه مطفعه النب	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-17 and 19-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Sanjiv Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185			
Supervisory i atom Examinor, fit Offic 2100			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 1, 6, 12 and 17, the applicant argues that Blea fails to teach the limitations recited in the claims. Specifically, the applicant argues regarding the mapping between the items disclosed in the cited prior art and the claimed "first storage object" and the "second storage object". The "virtual volumes" in Figure 2 correspond to the claimed "storage objects", wherein the "virtual volume A 32" and the "virtual volume B 34" correspond to the "first storage object" and the "second storage object" respectively. Further, the "RAIDs" correspond to the claimed physical memory regions associated with the storage objects, wherein the "RAID 18" corresponds to the claimed "first physical memory regions", and the "RAID 20" corresponds to the claimed "snapshot copy". Since each "RAID" is associated with a corresponding "Virtual Volume", Blea clearly teaches the "first and second storage objects" ("Virtual Volumes") each associated with the "physical memory retions" ("RAID"), wherein the "Virtual Volume B 34" and its associated RAID is a snapshot copy of the "Virtual Volume A 32" and its associated RAID.

The applicant further arges that Blea fails to teach the "data identifying the second storage object as a snapshot copy of the first storage object". However, as stated in the above paragraph, the "Virtual Volume B 34" is a snapshot copy of the "Virtual Volume A 32", wherein the original and the copy are physically stored in the corresponding "RAID". Since Blea identifies such relationship between the virtual volumes and its assoicated physical RAIDs, Blea clearly anticipates the claimed limitation.